

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X  
: ANTHONY PERRI, : 06-CV-403 (CBA) (LB)  
: :  
: Plaintiff, :  
: :  
: March 29, 2011  
: v. :  
: :  
: Brooklyn, New York  
: MICHAEL BLOOMBERG, et al., :  
: :  
: Defendants. :  
-----X

TRANSCRIPT OF CIVIL CAUSE FOR STATUS CONFERENCE  
BEFORE THE HONORABLE LOIS BLOOM  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff: ANTHONY PERRI, Pro Se  
IAN F. FELDMAN, ESQ., GAL  
  
For the Defendants: SUZANNA H. PUBLICKER, ESQ.

Audio Operator:

Court Transcriber: ARIA TRANSCRIPTIONS  
c/o Elizabeth Barron  
102 Sparrow Ridge Road  
Carmel, NY 10512  
(215) 767-7700

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording,  
transcript produced by transcription service

1           THE CLERK: Civil cause for status  
2 conference, Docket Number 06-CV-403, Perri v. Doe, et  
3 al.

4           Will the parties please state your names for  
5 the record.

6           MR. FELDMAN: My name is Ian Feldman. I'm  
7 the court-appointed Guardian ad Litem for Mr. Perri.

8           THE COURT: Mr. Perri, I know that you're  
9 going to want to speak on the record, sir, so why don't  
10 you note your own appearance here.

11          MR. PERRY: Anthony Perri, pro se.

12          THE COURT: thank you.

13          MS. PUBLICKER: Suzanna Publicker,  
14 Corporation Counsel.

15          MS. SMITH-WILLIAMS: (Ui) Smith-Williams,  
16 Corporation Counsel.

17          THE CLERK: The Honorable Lois Bloom  
18 presiding.

19          THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Feldman,  
20 Mr. Perri, Ms. Publicker, and Ms. Smith-Williams.

21          This is a status conference in plaintiff's  
22 civil action brought under Section 1983 regarding  
23 events that happened on October 11, 2003 at the 109  
24 Precinct. The Court scheduled today's conference, as  
25 the parties wrote to the Court that they had reached a

1 settlement, and then wrote to the Court requesting a  
2 conference.

3 By way of background, the Court notes that  
4 there was a hearing held on December 16, 2010 to  
5 determine whether plaintiff should be appointed a  
6 guardian ad litem pursuant to Federal Rule 17C.

7 Based on the psychiatric evaluation  
8 performed by Dr. Rotter, plaintiff's numerous  
9 submissions over the years, and Mr. Perri's testimony  
10 at that hearing, the Court found that plaintiff lacked  
11 the capacity to pursue this lawsuit on his own behalf  
12 and appointed Mr. Feldman as his pro bono guardian ad  
13 litem.

14 On January 28, 2011, Mr. Feldman reported  
15 that plaintiffs had rejected defendants' settlement  
16 offer and informed the Court that he would continue  
17 working to reach a settlement that plaintiff would be  
18 content with.

19 On March 14, 2011, Mr. Feldman submitted  
20 another status report to the Court, in which he stated  
21 that a settlement had been reached by the parties and  
22 that a stipulation would be forwarded to the Court  
23 within five days.

24 Now, it's quite clear to me, Mr. Perri, that  
25 you are not happy with this settlement, as you dropped

1 off a courtesy copy of what you filed in the Second  
2 Circuit, which is seeking to enjoin the Court from  
3 settling or closing this action, seeking to restrain  
4 Judge Amon and myself from taking any further action in  
5 the case, removing Judge Amon and me from this case,  
6 and removing Judge Amon and me from the bench, and  
7 appointing a new guardian ad litem in the case to  
8 represent your interests.

9           You state in that motion that you've made to  
10 the Second Circuit that Mr. Feldman told you that the  
11 case would be settled for \$12,500 and that the money  
12 would be sent to the victims of any crimes that you  
13 committed in the past.

14           Again, I convened this hearing today because  
15 the defendants requested that the Court schedule a  
16 conference regarding the proposed settlement of this  
17 action, and I believed it was in everybody's best  
18 interest to come before the Court today.

19           When the Court appointed Mr. Feldman as the  
20 guardian ad litem for plaintiff, I did explain at that  
21 time that the guardian ad litem would make decisions  
22 for you, Mr. Perri, based on his perception of what  
23 your best interests were, regardless of whether you  
24 agreed with those decisions. And the Court also  
25 stressed that this case is limited to the events that

1 allegedly occurred on October 13, 2003 at the  
2 109 Precinct, and that Mr. Feldman would only address  
3 those claims and would not pursue any of your other  
4 claims or cases in this or any other courts.

5 I rely on the Second Circuit's decision in  
6 Nielsen v. Colgate Palmolive Co., 199 F.3d 642 (2d Cir.  
7 1999), that a guardian ad litem appointed by the Court  
8 under Rule 17C to represent an incompetent plaintiff  
9 has the authority to settle an action even over the  
10 objection of the plaintiff.

11 My job is that after appointing a guardian,  
12 I must maintain a continuing obligation to supervise  
13 the guardian ad litem's work. And through the guardian  
14 ad litem, the Court itself assumes ultimate  
15 responsibility for determinations made on behalf of the  
16 ward, and all of this is in the Nielsen case.

17 I think it's fair to say, Mr. Perri, that  
18 other than you and me, nobody else has the familiarity  
19 with the parties and the proceedings. We are currently  
20 at docket entry 215 in this case. But, again,  
21 throughout the time that you've been before the Court  
22 or back and forth between this court and the Second  
23 Circuit on this or other claims, the Court has  
24 recognized that you have the view that this case is all  
25 important in all the other events that are going on in

1 your life, sir. In fact, in the newest submission to  
2 the Second Circuit, I note that you attach the  
3 headlines regarding the earthquake and tsunami in  
4 Japan, the earthquake in Christ Church, New Zealand, as  
5 if all of these are related to the case before the  
6 Court.

7 MR. PERRI: You forgot the (ui).

8 THE COURT: Yes. There were many other  
9 things that you attached, headlines. I'm just letting  
10 you know that I did review those papers.

11 Now, where a case involves an incompetent  
12 person, before we able to approve a settlement, the  
13 local rules require that the district court review any  
14 proposed settlement and determine whether it is fair,  
15 whether it is in the interests of the plaintiff and  
16 whether, by comparing the terms of the compromise with  
17 the likely rewards of the litigation, that this is an  
18 adequate settlement of the case.

19 And so I believe that we could have that  
20 fairness hearing today, since both sides have said that  
21 the case is settled, but I will need to ask certain  
22 questions regarding the substantive and procedural  
23 fairness of this settlement, what was done in order to  
24 settle this case to insure that there was an arms-  
25 length negotiation here. I would also like the parties

1 to address the substantial, what I see as obstacles for  
2 plaintiff should he proceed further in the case.

3 Which, Mr. Perri, I frankly don't think  
4 you're aware of what difficulties those would be.

5 MR. PERRI: I am aware. When you're done,  
6 I'll address that with you.

7 THE COURT: If you'd like to tell me now --

8 MR. PERRI: Yeah. Your Honor, I believe,  
9 first off, that the events --

10 THE COURT: Mr. Perri, I think that the mic.  
11 is blocked by whatever is there. Can you make sure  
12 there is a green light on.

13 MR. PERRI: Okay.

14 THE COURT: Okay, thank you.

15 MR. PERRI: Your Honor, if it pleases the  
16 Court, I believe that, first off, the events of October  
17 11, 2003 are a conspiracy.

18 THE COURT: October 13, 2003.

19 MR. PERRI: See, you know better than me.

20 THE COURT: No, it's okay, sir. I have my  
21 notes in front of me.

22 MR. PERRI: Yeah. And even if we take that  
23 one incident, the Court record relays the fact that  
24 over five years since I filed this case in this  
25 district, I've been homeless three times. I've been

1 incarcerated twice because of being setup by members of  
2 the New York City Police Department and the FBI. And  
3 it holds a record of evidence -- even if I never got  
4 anything, I believe, and even if something does happen  
5 to me, which you know I have numerous medical ailments  
6 right now. Let the record reflect that I'm carrying my  
7 food around for fear of it being tampered with in my  
8 own home. And I have blood coming out of my ears, out  
9 of my nose. I have severe problems with my legs and my  
10 back.

11 And this is the only case I have active. If  
12 this case ends, they're making a blanket statement that  
13 I'm delusional, that none of this is taking place.  
14 Judge Ross, Judge Amon, and yourself, and all the  
15 motions I've brought. If this case ends, I have no  
16 protection whatsoever, no litigation going whatsoever.

17 The City is aware of my situation. I was  
18 offered the exact same amount of money by Mary O'Flynn  
19 approximately two years ago, \$12,500, and I refused it.  
20 It's nowhere near -- the City settles all the time for  
21 false arrests for all different kinds of money. And  
22 the City is aware of the problems I've had with the New  
23 York City Police Department. And for them to come  
24 forth with this offer right now or this settlement --  
25 and, furthermore, I've stated to Mr. Feldman, whose



1 agency has numerous resources to represent plaintiffs  
2 first amendment rights, numerous times I've stated to  
3 him that I'm having these problems, and his agency --  
4 and I have a letter before his director asking that my  
5 first amendment rights be represented, and I've tried  
6 to get numerous attorney. And I believe that the  
7 influence of the Court and the federal government and  
8 the City has stopped really anybody from helping me.

9           And I believe also that one day that the  
10 truth of this will come out because there are things  
11 happening and there are a lot of law enforcement  
12 officers on high levels involved and a lot of city and  
13 federal officials involved in this case now, and  
14 they've committed themselves, and people have been  
15 harmed. And I believe that down the road that to  
16 elevate judges or to appoint government officials to  
17 cover this up is not going to work, and that down the  
18 road -- and I say that with respect, your Honor. And I  
19 believe that down the road that to have this case going  
20 will help me, will benefit me. That amount of money is  
21 not going to help me. It's going to stop my SSI money.  
22 I'm not going to get the money anyway.

23           THE COURT: Well, those are things that I  
24 want to inquire into, Mr. Perri. But from what I'm  
25 hearing from you, it's not necessarily the amount of

1 money, although that's an aside, it's that you believe  
2 if the case is resolved, that you no longer have a case  
3 pending, and that somehow that means that you would be  
4 in harms way more than you are saying you are in harms  
5 way now?

6 MR. PERRI: Yeah. As I always said back in  
7 2008 to your Honor, I said if they provide adequate  
8 money where I can provide safety and security for  
9 myself in my own home, where I don't have to rely on --  
10 and this overlaps to the federal government and the  
11 court where they could have -- you know, they have  
12 millions of homes in foreclosure. They could have  
13 provided me a safe home to live in and alleviated this  
14 situation.

15 THE COURT: Mr. Perri, there are a lot of  
16 big problems in the world. I agree with you on that  
17 and I understand --

18 MR. PERRI: That we're all involved in this.

19

20 THE COURT: I understand that you have  
21 significant reservations regarding settlement. I did  
22 want you to be able to speak on the record as to what  
23 your position is. I understand that you have the right  
24 to object to the settlement; you are going to appeal  
25 it. I believe whether or not it was more than the

1 amount, I believe that you have not really addressed,  
2 comparing the terms of this compromise with the likely  
3 rewards of the litigation, or the substantial obstacles  
4 that you would face should the case proceed; but, we  
5 can come back to those issues.

6 I would like Mr. Feldman to at least set  
7 forth on the record what did you do in order to resolve  
8 this case with defendants. Can you speak in an  
9 abbreviated way, as to what these claims are about in a  
10 nutshell, and what you see as the merits to a  
11 settlement at this point?

12 MR. FELDMAN: Sure, your Honor. Well, first  
13 of all, I've read almost all of the documents that were  
14 in the file, which as you said is -- well, now it's up  
15 to -- I think the docket sheet on PACER is up to 28 or  
16 29 pages, and I've read -- I didn't read every  
17 document, but some, from the outline of PACER, I didn't  
18 have to read, but I read just about everything else  
19 that was important here.

20 The issues that happened around October 11,  
21 2003 seem to be subsumed to everything else that is  
22 going on in Mr. Perri's life. The event of October 11  
23 was the culmination of the conspiracies in the world,  
24 conspiracies against Mr. Perri, and then continued  
25 beyond October 11. So I don't believe that any amount

1 of money will -- perhaps a million dollars or half a  
2 million dollars would satisfy Mr. Perri, but I'm not  
3 even sure about that, since the main focus is getting  
4 the people to stop poisoning his food, to stop  
5 poisoning his cat, to stop spying on him, and all of  
6 the other -- the federal, the city, and the state  
7 governments have been doing against him and violating  
8 his due process rights, in his words, and his first  
9 amendment rights.

10 Now, it could be that Mr. -- I truly believe  
11 that Mr. Perri believes this and that it could be that  
12 I just don't see the forest because of the trees and  
13 Mr. Perri sees the forest because of the trees, and I'm  
14 just not at that point.

15 THE COURT: Mr. Feldman, before you go on,  
16 can you state for the record what your position at the  
17 Urban Justice Center is, please, sir.

18 MR. FELDMAN: I'm the Director of Legal  
19 Services for the Mental Health Project.

20 THE COURT: And how long have you been at  
21 the Urban Justice Center, sir?

22 MR. FELDMAN: It will be four years this  
23 June, but before that I spent 35 years at the Legal Aid  
24 Society dealing with many people who have mental  
25 illness.

1 THE COURT: And in terms of assessing the  
2 value of the case, you have reviewed some of the  
3 records regarding what happened on October 13. I know  
4 we're all saying October 11, but according to the  
5 complaint it's October 13, 2003 at the 109 Precinct.

6 MR. FELDMAN: I did, Judge.

7 THE COURT: And you understand what Mr.  
8 Perri is claiming happened on that one night?

9 MR. FELDMAN: I do.

10 THE COURT: And, again, in looking at the  
11 rest of what Mr. Perri believes has happened since that  
12 date, I understand what you're saying: He is earnest.  
13 Mr. Perri believes that all of this has generated a  
14 great conspiracy between federal, state, and city  
15 officials of people following him. He's been filing  
16 what he calls "Perri Reports" for years, where he's  
17 taking pictures of people that he believes have been  
18 following him. And in my mind, Mr. Feldman, anyone who  
19 tries to help in this case will at some point become  
20 part of the conspiracy, which is why I believe Mr.  
21 Perri is now asking for Judge Amon, myself, to be  
22 removed from the bench or to be removed from this case.

23 Again, I hope that that doesn't continue  
24 with your volunteer services to the Court as his  
25 guardian ad litem, but I do want to address what he

1 alleged happened to him on that occasion, not since  
2 that time. Can you put in a nutshell what he is  
3 alleging happened to him and why you believe that --  
4 and then you can say what the terms of the settlement  
5 are? I do want you to specifically address what Mr.  
6 Perri says in his papers to the circuit that I just  
7 wanted the case settled, that I -- the other piece  
8 being that the money will all be taken away by the  
9 victims.

10 MR. FELDMAN: Of all the documents in this  
11 case, I think there are three or four that drove me to  
12 believe that the settlement offer was reasonable: One  
13 was Mr. Perri's third amended or fourth amended  
14 complaint; there was his deposition of about 160, 170  
15 pages; there was also the police report; and there was  
16 the report from the Flushing Hospital.

17 On October 11, Mr. Perri was arrested by the  
18 police because he -- so the police report alleges that  
19 he grabbed the arm of an 11-year-old child who he felt  
20 was throwing eggs at someone who he shouldn't have been  
21 throwing eggs at this particular person. He was taken  
22 to the police station. He didn't like the way he was  
23 treated at the police station. He developed  
24 palpitations and the police took him to the hospital,  
25 Flushing Hospital, where he was really diagnosed as a

1 panic attack, and then he was brought back to the  
2 police station. He felt that he was still not feeling  
3 well. Again, the emergency FDNY came. He wasn't taken  
4 back to Flushing Hospital. And eventually he was taken  
5 to court and that court proceeding was actually  
6 eventually discontinued.

7           Based on Mr. Perri's deposition and my  
8 conversations with Mr. Perri, there is no physical  
9 injury. I think one of the keys to it was when he was  
10 asked at the deposition was after October 11, 2003 when  
11 is the last time that you saw a doctor, and he said it  
12 was six months later. And was it anything to do with  
13 what happened to you on October 11, 2003, and he said  
14 no.

15           I don't do these, what we call, I guess  
16 police misconduct cases, but I did consult with a  
17 lawyer who does these cases. He told me he's been  
18 doing this for about forty years. I explained to him  
19 the facts of Mr. Perri's case, of course, keeping Mr.  
20 Perri's confidentiality. And he said that the case is  
21 worth about 10 to \$15,000, and that was the bulk of his  
22 practice doing these kinds of cases. And based upon  
23 what I told him, he said that it was reasonable offer  
24 by the City.

25           I also consulted a psychiatrist that the

1 Urban Justice Center has as an advisor, who has  
2 actually done trainings for us about Mr. Perri's  
3 situation in an effort to try to deal with some of the  
4 issues that Mr. Perri has and also to make sure that I  
5 was doing the right thing in my dealings with  
6 Mr. Perri. And the response of the psychiatrist was  
7 that I was right on point, however I was handling the  
8 situation.

9 THE COURT: And can you speak to that  
10 because Mr. Perri, although it wasn't clear in his  
11 papers, said that he didn't hear from you for a month  
12 and then you told him that the case was being settled.

13 MR. FELDMAN: Well, I spent a lot of time  
14 reading the papers, 28 pages of docket entries, as I  
15 said before.

16 When I told Mr. Perri the case was being  
17 settled, it was really just to inform him that this was  
18 a settlement proposal, that there was nothing in  
19 writing about it. In fact, there is no stipulation,  
20 which --

21 THE COURT: And what --

22 MR. FELDMAN: The stipulation, actually,  
23 we'll get to that a little bit later, Judge, but that's  
24 going to present a problem here.

25 THE COURT: Well, I would like to get to



1 that, but what happened between the time that you told  
2 Mr. Perri -- that in January you reported that  
3 plaintiff had rejected the defendants' settlement  
4 offer, and you informed the Court that you would  
5 continue working to try to reach a settlement that  
6 plaintiff could be content with. And then it was March  
7 14 when there was another letter submitted to the  
8 Court.

9 MR. FELDMAN: I'm a busy legal services  
10 attorney, and I have a large -- even though I supervise  
11 people, I also have a large personal practice, and I  
12 just kept reviewing the records and thinking more about  
13 Mr. Perri's situation.

14 THE COURT: Did you speak to him in those  
15 intervening weeks?

16 MR. FELDMAN: Maybe once or twice. He knew  
17 that I was working on the case. Plus, he knew that I  
18 was always available. I've always been able -- Mr.  
19 Perri has always been able to reach me by telephone,  
20 and I've always promptly returned his calls. And I  
21 called him when I found out about the conference. I  
22 called him to inform him, in case he didn't get the  
23 mail in time. And actually this morning I spoke to Mr.  
24 Perri, too, to make sure that he remembered about the  
25 conference and that I would see him later, and we

1 discussed the case on the telephone, and we discussed  
2 the case in the courtroom, also, before your Honor got  
3 on the bench.

4 THE COURT: Mr. Perri, I don't want you to  
5 underestimate the difficult position that I put Mr.  
6 Feldman in by appointing him as your guardian ad litem.  
7 He has ethical obligations because he is an attorney  
8 and his efforts to work to reach a resolution that you  
9 could be content with, even though, as he said you  
10 might not be content unless you got a half a million or  
11 a million dollars, which is not going to be a  
12 settlement that the City will reach on a one-time event  
13 with no follow-up permanent injuries.

14 The clear impression that I get is that you  
15 don't want a decision to be made period here, Mr.  
16 Perri.

17 MR. PERRI: Could I just remark on some  
18 things he just said which are not really true, but  
19 first Mr. Feldman came onto the case --

20 THE COURT: Again, I can't hear you on the  
21 record, so --

22 MR. PERRI: Mr. Feldman came onto the case,  
23 we spoke, he said he would keep an open mind, he'd  
24 review the documents. He made me, basically, the same  
25 offer maybe a month or two ago when I last spoke to

1 him. And I told him that I thought the case was worth  
2 more, it's an important case, it's my only case going.  
3 I'm really having these problems with the police and  
4 then I --

5 THE COURT: But that's the part that -- I  
6 don't want to interrupt you, but that's the part that  
7 you're not able to hear from me or from Mr. Feldman.  
8 That even though you're having repeated problems in the  
9 world, this case is limited to the events that happened  
10 on October 13, 2003, which I believe Mr. Feldman left  
11 out one salient point of that case, which is that the  
12 police officers did not believe you were having a panic  
13 attack, and in their wisdom decided to four-point you  
14 face down and drop you. And said if you put your hands  
15 out, then you were faking a panic attack, and if they  
16 dropped you and you landed on your head, that they  
17 would believe it was a panic attack.

18 And on that issue, I basically said, one,  
19 that you could not make something like that up; and,  
20 two, that that was not a reasonable use of their force  
21 or powers at the precinct to drop somebody who was  
22 suffering from -- even if it was a malignant panic  
23 attack, police officers should not be holding somebody  
24 face down and drop them.

25 So that was what I thought was a salient

1 detail in this case, whether or not that force used was  
2 an appropriate use of force. As far as you trying to  
3 protect a neighborhood child when the other child was  
4 egging them, and that they left the house open, and  
5 that your cat was in the house. All of those details  
6 are very important to you, Mr. Perri, but they don't  
7 really increase the value of a case that settles.

8 MR. PERRI: And now another issue -- I thank  
9 you for pointing that out, your Honor. Because it  
10 seems like Mr. Feldman, he could argue for my side, but  
11 it seems like he just stated like that -- like he's the  
12 attorney for the City. And I say that with respect to  
13 Mr. Feldman, but there's also --

14 THE COURT: Sir --

15 MR. PERRI: -- intentional infliction --

16 THE COURT: -- he is not an attorney for the  
17 City.

18 MR. PERRI: I know.

19 THE COURT: But he is your guardian ad  
20 litem. He is not appointed to be your litigation  
21 counsel.

22 MR. PERRI: Okay. Okay. Now, but there's  
23 intentional infliction of emotional distress also, your  
24 Honor, that they repeatedly mocked me, mimicked me, the  
25 whole time over and over. This bothered me for years.

1 THE COURT: Mr. Perri --

2 MR. PERRI: And still bothers me.

3 THE COURT: Mr. Perri, I don't doubt that  
4 there was a lot going on for you, repercussions of  
5 prior events. I mean, quite frankly, sir, when I read  
6 some of the papers that you submitted early on in the  
7 case about things that had happened in the northern  
8 district when you were incarcerated in Clinton and your  
9 upbringing, all of these have effects on you, sir.

10 MR. PERRI: Okay.

11 THE COURT: I do need to get to what Mr.  
12 Feldman was saying about the difficulties in the  
13 settlement papers because that's --

14 MR. PERRI: And there's a denial of medical  
15 treatment that if I needed to be -- was having a  
16 psychiatric or emotional disturbance, which everybody  
17 is saying I'm crazy and I'm incompetent, but if I was  
18 really having that, that what I actually got was an  
19 attack of a harassment and intentional infliction of  
20 emotional distress for the amount of time I was in.

21 THE COURT: Which I really do believe is why  
22 the City is willing to settle this case.

23 MR. PERRI: Okay.

24 THE COURT: And even though this number may  
25 have been their constant number from when Ms. O'Flynn

1 was on the case, I think that they have always been  
2 willing to settle the case because they are recognizing  
3 that it, perhaps, could have been handled in a better  
4 fashion than it was.

5 MR. PERRI: Okay. I appreciate that.

6 THE COURT: Mr. Feldman, as to the issue --  
7 and we'll get back to you, Mr. Perri. As to the issue  
8 of whether or not Mr. Perri would get this money, could  
9 you address that issue?

10 MR. FELDMAN: Of course, your Honor.

11 There are two issues: One, New York  
12 Executive Law Section 632 --

13 THE COURT: The Son of Sam Law?

14 MR. FELDMAN: -- hyphen A. It's not exactly  
15 the Son of Sam Law, but everybody calls it the Son of  
16 Sam Law. There's something that Ms. Publicker had  
17 pointed out to me, kindly.

18 THE COURT: Crime Victim Compensation Board.

19 MR. FELDMAN: Yes. If the settlement is for  
20 \$10,000 or more, then the money is -- the City is  
21 supposed to notify the Crime Victim Compensation Board  
22 and they're supposed to notify any victim --

23 THE COURT: Any victims.

24 MR. FELDMAN: -- any victims of Mr. Perri's  
25 crimes to see if they want to put in a claim.

1                   Now, reading that statute, which is --

2                   THE COURT:   Quite a statute.

3                   MR. FELDMAN:  -- quite a statute, exactly --

4   I don't believe that that statute covers Mr. Perri

5   because under the definition of someone who's been

6   convicted of a crime -- yes, he has been convicted of a

7   crime, but it's a definition under the statute that

8   doesn't cover Mr. Perri on my reading of the statute.

9                   Now, the City takes the position that once

10   they're offering somebody \$10,000 or more, that there's

11   no obligation on their part to make a decision or

12   investigation about whether or not that statute applies

13   to the individual.  They give that responsibility to

14   the Crime Victims Board, and they eventually make that

15   determination.

16                  THE COURT:  And when you're saying that you

17   don't believe that it covers Mr. Perri, the reason for

18   that is?

19                  MR. FELDMAN:  It has to do with the strict

20   definition in the statute because Mr. Perri has not

21   been under any -- he's not incarcerated, he's not -- he

22   hasn't been on parole for many years.  He's not under

23   any parole supervision, and he hasn't been since -- how

24   long have you been off of parole?

25                  MR. PERRI:  I've been home 15 years.  I've

1    been off parole for seven years.

2                   THE COURT:   And when was the statute  
3    enacted, just because that may also -- I do know that  
4    the original Son of Sam Law was struck down and then  
5    they legislated a new version of the act, which, again,  
6    forgive us for calling it "Son of Sam," but that's what  
7    anybody who practices in the area calls it.

8                   MR. FELDMAN:   I see there was an amendment  
9    in 2001.  I'm assuming that applies to the situation  
10   before you.

11                  THE COURT:   And so you believe that he is  
12   not somebody who falls within the ambit of the statute?

13                  MR. FELDMAN:   Yes, but it gets even more  
14   complicated --

15                  THE COURT:   Okay, go ahead.

16                  MR. FELDMAN:   -- than the settlement.  
17   Because under the stipulation that the City -- the  
18   proposed stipulation that the City sent to me, which I  
19   assume is standard --

20                  THE COURT:   The liens provision, the  
21   affidavit of no liens?

22                  MR. FELDMAN:   Yes, that's correct.  We're  
23   dealing with Medicaid.  We're dealing with Medicare,  
24   Worker's Compensation, Public Assistance.  I assume  
25   parking tickets or any debt that --



1 THE COURT: Deadbeat Dad Statute.

2 MR. FELDMAN: Yeah, any debts.

3 THE COURT: I don't think that we have that  
4 as an issue here. I do know, Ms. Publicker, because I  
5 have had more than one settlement with the City before,  
6 that there have been times that the City has worked  
7 some magic to intervene. I don't think you can do  
8 anything about the federal programs. And so the SSI,  
9 Mr. Feldman, would that be affected?

10 MR. FELDMAN: No, it's not. And Mr. Perri  
11 doesn't get any -- because he gets only SSI, he doesn't  
12 have any Medicare, but he does get Medicaid.

13 THE COURT: But will he be kicked off of SSI  
14 because he's getting a settlement?

15 MR. FELDMAN: Well, we can work on some sort  
16 of supplemental, some sort of spendthrift trust for Mr.  
17 Perri, and I know lawyers who will do it pro bono for  
18 me.

19 THE COURT: In other words, Mr. Perri, that  
20 he could try to set it up so that your monthly  
21 allowance from the federal government wouldn't be  
22 disrupted by a settlement, and that you'd have some  
23 money for discretionary things that are not now  
24 covered.

25 MR. FELDMAN: What I did learn --

1 MR. PERRI: Yeah.

2 THE COURT: Is that a fair appraisal?

3 MR. FELDMAN: Yes.

4 THE COURT: Go ahead.

5 MR. FELDMAN: But I did learn, Judge, that  
6 in 2007 and 2008, New York City Human Resources  
7 Administration gave Mr. Perri a few dollars short of  
8 \$7,000.

9 THE COURT: For a one-shot-deal on an  
10 eviction.

11 MR. FELDMAN: For a one-shot deal, most of  
12 it was for rent. There was one for utilities. So  
13 if --

14 THE COURT: Now, again, my experience with  
15 the corporation counsel is any amount over \$7,500 is  
16 subject to the affidavit of no liens. But I could tell  
17 you that I had a specific case earlier this year that  
18 was a very difficult settlement to come by, and that in  
19 that case where it was a over \$10,000 -- over \$7,500  
20 case, the lien requirement and the City was able to  
21 get, and I believe it was -- I won't say it's DHS, but  
22 it was able to get the benefit claim not enjoined, but  
23 that they said that they would not collect against the  
24 settlement to make the settlement go through.

25 MS. PUBLICKER: Your Honor, I've never

1 personally had the situation happen. I mean, we could  
2 of course look into it.

3 THE COURT: I could tell you the name of the  
4 case and you could go back. I mean, it was in your  
5 office, and it was to make the case go through.

6 And these are the things that I need the  
7 City to do something about because, again, Mr. Perri, I  
8 can't avoid everything. The federal government, we  
9 can't avoid any of the -- but Medicare is not at issue  
10 here because you're under the age that Medicare would  
11 kick in. On the Medicaid benefits, I don't think if  
12 you're not getting treatment for injuries that Medicaid  
13 would pay for, that they don't require any sort of lien  
14 to be filed. I know that there was a new law passed  
15 about reimbursement out of personal injury rewards when  
16 somebody is being treated by doctors that could be  
17 covered by Medicaid or Medicare.

18 But, Mr. Perri, frankly, this is going to be  
19 more work to get the settlement to go through to set up  
20 a trust so that you would get some of these funds. So,  
21 again, --

22 MR. PERRI: Some of the funds?

23 THE COURT: What Mr. --

24 MR. PERRI: I don't know what's going on.

25 THE COURT: Wait. Wait. Wait. What Mr.

1 Feldman was saying is in order to not upset your SSI  
2 payments, instead of getting one lump sump, he would  
3 try to get a trust set up so that --

4 MR. PERRI: Oh, I see.

5 THE COURT: -- you would be able to get that  
6 money without upsetting your Social Security award.

7 MR. PERRI: I don't know what a trust is.

8 THE COURT: That's --

9 MR. FELDMAN: That's correct.

10 THE COURT: So, again, that would be after a  
11 settlement would go through. It's not going to be part  
12 of the settlement. But, again, Mr. Feldman is saying  
13 that he would undertake to find somebody to establish  
14 that sort of trust so that your SSI benefits would not  
15 be disrupted. You know that SSI requires you to spend  
16 down if you get money --

17 MR. PERRI: Yeah.

18 THE COURT: -- over a certain amount of  
19 money. Now, again --

20 MR. PERRI: That's why it's not really --

21 THE COURT: Excuse me?

22 MR. PERRI: That's why it's not really  
23 feasible because if I have to give up the SSI --

24 MR. FELDMAN: No, you wouldn't --

25 MR. PERRI: It's such an obstacle.

1 THE COURT: Nobody wants you to give up the  
2 SSI, Mr. Perri.

3 MR. PERRI: It's such an obstacle to get it  
4 to start with, as you know.

5 THE COURT: Mr. Perri, I understand. That's  
6 why nobody wants you to give up the SSI. I'd like the  
7 City to work on getting the liens waived, and I do  
8 believe that that is possible, although not easy to  
9 accomplish. But, again, I know that it's happened in  
10 other cases and I can say off the record the name of  
11 the case so you can go track back and find out who the  
12 supervisor in charge on that case was to try to figure  
13 out how that was accomplished. It was also against the  
14 police department.

15 As far as the definition of whether or not  
16 Mr. Perri would be part of the Crime Victim  
17 Compensation Board, again, I don't think that's part of  
18 this settlement at all. And I, frankly, have never  
19 seen that in any of the City's settlement papers.

20 MS. PUBLICKER: It's not in our settlement  
21 papers that we submitted to Mr. Feldman.

22 MR. FELDMAN: It's not in the settlement  
23 papers, but they would be applying the statute.

24 THE COURT: They would be notifying the  
25 Crime Victim Compensation Board in case he was

1 considered to be somebody who had to pay back under the  
2 statute.

3 MR. FELDMAN: No. Apparently, the City  
4 doesn't investigate or doesn't make a decision --

5 THE COURT: I think that's true.

6 MR. FELDMAN: -- about whether the statute  
7 applies to Mr. Perri. They just pass it onto the Crime  
8 Victims Compensation Board so --

9 THE COURT: The only thing that I'm  
10 questioning in this, Ms. Publicker -- and, again, I've  
11 had you on other cases, but you've never been in the  
12 courtroom, it's been by phone, and I don't know how  
13 much experience you've had, and I'm not trying to  
14 disrespect you in any way by saying this. All the  
15 cases where there has been a reporting has been when  
16 somebody filed the claim because they were at Rikers,  
17 not when somebody was a free person in the world filing  
18 a claim who had a past history of incarceration years  
19 and years ago.

20 So I don't really understand what your  
21 saying in terms of that you're required to notify them,  
22 that you don't investigate whether or not he's covered  
23 by the law; you just notify Crime Victim Compensation  
24 Board. I would understand if this was a claim filed at  
25 Rikers or any other City prison and it related in some

1 respect to his incarceration or his conviction on a  
2 prior crime. But you're telling me for every citizen  
3 who settles a suit against the City of New York, if you  
4 find out that they ever were incarcerated you're  
5 sending it off to the Crime Victim Compensation Board?

6 MS. PUBLICKER: No, your Honor, not for any  
7 crime that they've been incarcerated for. But, in this  
8 case, we were aware that Mr. Perri was convicted of  
9 attempted murder, a felony that I believe integrated in  
10 the statute for which there is a victim that could be  
11 compensated.

12 And, as Mr. Feldman stated, we don't  
13 investigate. I have looked at the New York Board of  
14 Parole's website, searched for Mr. Perri's name, and it  
15 comes up that his parole was revoked, according to the  
16 website. Now, Mr. Feldman has since informed me that  
17 that parole is actually discharged. However, going off  
18 of the New York State Department of Parole's own  
19 website, he is still under parole for the attempted  
20 murder.

21 THE COURT: Well, again, --

22 MR. FELDMAN: May I be heard on that?

23 MR. PERRI: Unbelievable.

24 MR. FELDMAN: May I be heard on that, your  
25 Honor?

1 THE COURT: Yes, certainly.

2 MR. FELDMAN: It would appear if one did  
3 look at the parole website that Mr. Perri is still  
4 under parole. But if one looks at the DOCS website,  
5 the Department of Corrections, Mr. Perri did go back  
6 into prison and actually served out his prison term  
7 because of the parole violation.

8 THE COURT: But even more than that, if  
9 we're all trusting these websites, which I know that  
10 there have been cases about inaccurate warrants that  
11 have been acted on, and there have been cases where the  
12 courts have said that the person who relied on the  
13 warrant would be given qualified immunity. But this is  
14 a different sort of case.

15 In the settlement of the lawsuit, if there's  
16 an indication on a different public website that this  
17 website is inaccurate and Mr. Feldman is pointing to  
18 another website that you can access to say that he  
19 fully discharged his term in prison and there was no  
20 parole, then he was not subject to parole at the time  
21 that this settlement happened.

22 Now, that being said, Mr. Perri, I'm not the  
23 expert and I'm not your lawyer on this Son of Sam Law.  
24 I do know that it applies to settlements. Even if your  
25 Aunt Betty died and left you money in a will and you



1 were somebody who came under the coverage of this  
2 statute, that money that you got from Aunt Betty's will  
3 would still be something that would be reported to the  
4 Crime Victim Compensation Board.

5           The truth is, not everything that's reported  
6 to the Crime Victim Compensation Board then gets taken.  
7 It's a process of notification of a victim that they  
8 could try to file against that money, that settlement,  
9 or Aunt Betty's inheritance. And sometimes the person  
10 does come and file a claim; sometimes that person is no  
11 longer where they last were and nobody can be located  
12 and there's no claim filed. I can't really -- I can't  
13 excuse you from the law. Mr. Feldman is saying under  
14 his best reading it wouldn't apply to you. I'm making  
15 the argument to the City that if he isn't on parole and  
16 you can verify that he has fully served his term, I  
17 don't know what your obligations are on notifying the  
18 Crime Victim Compensation Board.

19           MS. PUBLICKER: Your Honor, when we notify  
20 the Crime Victims Compensation Board, we merely inform  
21 them of the defendant's name. We don't do any  
22 investigation. They are the ones who, before notifying  
23 a victim, determine whether or not the individuals are  
24 subject to the law. Which is why there may be claims  
25 that are submitted to them for review that are not

1 applicable.

2 THE COURT: But that's what I'm saying, Ms.  
3 Publicker. In every case that settles, so every trip  
4 and fall on a pothole, you're notifying the Crime  
5 Victim Compensation Board if you have a settlement in  
6 that case?

7 MS. PUBLICKER: Your Honor, I know that  
8 routinely in our torts division, which typically is in  
9 state court, so you know they are not here, they  
10 routinely make notifications to the Crime Victim Board  
11 where there have been prior instances of criminality.  
12 And I think also due to the severity of the crime at  
13 issue here, that there does seem to be some obligation  
14 to, at least, inform the Board. Whether or not they  
15 actually enforced it or actually attempted to do  
16 something with the victim is not something that we  
17 really have control over.

18 THE COURT: Have you discussed this with Mr.  
19 Feldman because he, by reading the statute, is coming  
20 to a different conclusion about the applicability?

21 MS. PUBLICKER: Yes, your Honor. I've  
22 actually spoken to the Crime Victims Board and laid out  
23 the facts of this case that previous conviction, this  
24 lawsuit and --

25 THE COURT: And you said when the conviction

1 was?

2 MS. PUBLICKER: Yes. And what I had found  
3 on the website. And the response of the attorney at  
4 the Crime Victims Board was that he believed that this  
5 very well might be a case in which Son of Sam Law  
6 applied, and that to be safe I should contact him when  
7 a settlement had been reached.

8 THE COURT: But, again, he wasn't saying for  
9 sure, and you were relying on the website that said he  
10 was still under parole?

11 MS. PUBLICKER: Correct.

12 THE COURT: And so that was -- if that was  
13 correct, that might change the determination. In other  
14 words, Mr. Perri is no longer subject to any sentence  
15 that might change.

16 MS. PUBLICKER: I believe there's a period  
17 of time after which the sentence has ended. I don't  
18 know if that period -- I believe it might be three  
19 years, but I'm not sure. So that period does go beyond  
20 the release from parole, and I don't know how the  
21 ongoing lawsuit might apply if this thing --

22 MR. PERRI: Can I say something, your Honor?

23 THE COURT: Yes.

24 MR. PERRI: You know, with all the resources  
25 of the City and their connection to the NYPD and the

1 corporation counsel, for them to access a website, and  
2 they have the information in my deposition. They're  
3 aware I'm off parole themselves, and to actually rely  
4 on something with all the resources that they have that  
5 says I'm still on parole and I've been off parole for  
6 seven years, and this crime happened 25 years ago, you  
7 know --

8 THE COURT: Well, again, Mr. Perri, all of  
9 those facts are in your favor.

10 MR. PERRI: -- to hold this up -- to hold  
11 this up -- to even bring this up with everything that  
12 we've been through over the years with the corporation  
13 counsel, really shows why we've been through so much  
14 that we've been through with the City.

15 THE COURT: Mr. Perri --

16 MR. PERRI: And only because --

17 THE COURT: Mr. Perri, your point is taken,  
18 okay. I note your point.

19 MR. PERRI: They're getting away with  
20 murder, literally.

21 THE COURT: I note your point.

22 MR. PERRI: Them and their clients are  
23 getting away with murder.

24 THE COURT: Can we get back to -- under  
25 local civil rule 83.2, again, the Court must review any

1 proposed settlement and determine whether it is fair,  
2 whether the interests of the plaintiff are protected,  
3 and whether by comparing the terms of the compromise  
4 with the likely rewards of litigation, this settlement  
5 should be approved. I did ask what you did. I did  
6 hear that you reviewed all the docket entries,. that  
7 you have had conversations with Mr. Perri. I think  
8 it's obvious, but you didn't state for the record, that  
9 you've had negotiations with the City.

10 Can you state what you've done in terms of  
11 trying to negotiate a fair resolution of the case?

12 MR. FELDMAN: Well, I asked the City, of  
13 course, for more money based upon my conversation with  
14 this lawyer who has been doing these cases for 25  
15 years. And my description of what happened to Mr.  
16 Perri, he said the case was worth about \$15,000. The  
17 City has offered us ten, so we settled at twelve-five,  
18 \$12,500.

19 THE COURT: And in terms of comparing that  
20 with the likely rewards of litigation?

21 MR. FELDMAN: Mr. Perri would like me and/or  
22 the Urban Justice Center to take this case to trial  
23 before a jury. I don't think he realized -- and depose  
24 all of the police officers, all of the defendants. I  
25 don't think he realizes how expensive depositions are,

1 that they could run into thousands and thousands of  
2 dollars. His deposition alone probably cost -- that  
3 the City asked him to participate in was probably a  
4 couple of thousand dollars.

5 There are no private lawyers who would take  
6 this case on a contingency fee. And, in fact, the rule  
7 is that if -- should Mr. Perri prevail on a trial of  
8 this case and the settlement would be less than  
9 \$12,500, there would be no attorneys fees available to  
10 any private attorney who would take this case.

11 THE COURT: Is that because there's been an  
12 offer of judgment made?

13 MR. FELDMAN: That's correct.

14 THE COURT: And this was made by the City,  
15 an offer of judgment under Rule 68?

16 MS. SMITH-WILLIAMS: No, your Honor. We  
17 never made a Rule 68.

18 MR. FELDMAN: Well, they didn't make a  
19 formal one, but that rule was discussed. I mean --

20 MS. PUBLICKER: I did discuss if this went  
21 forward that we would issue a Rule 68 offer of  
22 judgment. We have not at this point.

23 THE COURT: And --

24 MR. PERRI: What does that mean?

25 THE COURT: An offer of judgment shifts the

1 costs of the litigation. If you don't prevail and get  
2 more than that amount at trial, then you're on the hook  
3 for the costs of the other side.

4 MR. PERRI: Okay.

5 THE COURT: What about the risks involved,  
6 should the case proceed to trial regarding Mr. Perri's  
7 ability to testify or cope with cross-examination?

8 MR. FELDMAN: I don't believe that -- I  
9 believe that Mr. Perri would have a very difficult time  
10 convincing the trier of facts, whether it's a judge or  
11 a jury, that the events that happened to him are worth  
12 more than \$12,500. And what would come out also would  
13 be his past history of filing other lawsuits, his past  
14 history of convictions, and also what would come out  
15 would be his claims of a global conspiracy against him.

16 THE COURT: And you believe that these are  
17 serious issues in going forward?

18 MR. FELDMAN: Yes, Judge.

19 MR. PERRI: Your Honor?

20 THE COURT: Yes.

21 MR. PERRI: What I maintain and what was  
22 submitted to the corporation counsel and to the Court  
23 on September 9, I was arrested in 1982 for stabbing a  
24 New York City Police Officer who was drunk who chased  
25 me down the street with four other New York City Police

1 Officers. And I allege that since that time, I've been  
2 setup by police, including Steven Caracoppa (ph), who  
3 is one of the Mafia cops who was my arresting officer.

4 My entire family is dead right now. I  
5 believe that the City is aware of these facts and my  
6 whole family is dead. And they set me up in numerous -  
7 - they used multiple resources within the New York City  
8 Police Department since my release.

9 THE COURT: Mr. Perri, to be fair, okay,  
10 from reading the papers. Your father was an alcoholic.  
11 You were removed from the home when you were a young  
12 man. Your brother died of AIDS, if I'm correct, from  
13 what the papers you submitted --

14 MR. PERRI: One brother was shot in the  
15 head.

16 THE COURT: One brother was shot in the  
17 head. But I want it to be clear that --

18 MR. PERRI: My brother did die of AIDS, but  
19 he was set up.

20 THE COURT: -- you have had a most  
21 unfortunate and sad family history.

22 MR. PERRI: Okay. That's true. But I was  
23 set up. I'm maintaining I was set up for stabbing that  
24 off-duty police officer. That when I did my time and  
25 got out from serving the assault charge, that the New



1 York City Police Department and the City of New York  
2 used multiple resources to set me up and destroy my  
3 life.

4 THE COURT: And, Mr. Perri, how old were you  
5 --

6 MR. PERRI: And my arresting officer was --

7 THE COURT: How old were you when --

8 MR. PERRI: -- Steven Caracoppa, none other.

9 THE COURT: I understand.

10 MR. PERRI: And their murders took place  
11 right after my incarceration in 1986.

12 THE COURT: And how old were you at the time  
13 that you were originally incarcerated?

14 MR. PERRI: 23 years old.

15 THE COURT: And how old are you now, Mr.  
16 Perri?

17 MR. PERRI: I'm 48, but -- but there's no  
18 statute of limitations on murder, if they set my  
19 brother up to be murdered and --

20 THE COURT: Mr. Perri, I understand.

21 MR. PERRI: This is a continuing conspiracy.  
22 I allege that since I got out in 1996, that I was being  
23 set up. I have photos of these police that were  
24 following me around College Point. They used these  
25 civilians to set me up. And I believe one of the

1 reasons why Johana Castro and Mary O'Flynn are off this  
2 case now is because they were actually involved with  
3 these police, Deputy Chief James P. O'Neil and John Doe  
4 #1 and John Doe #2 were actually involved with these  
5 police that continued to set me up after I filed this  
6 case.

7 So these are the reasons why I need this  
8 case to go forward because this is part of a continuing  
9 conspiracy.

10 And also, your Honor, if it doesn't, if this  
11 case is settled, I'm going to make a request of this  
12 Court that the entire file be kept within the court and  
13 that I be able to bring up -- now, I know that you say  
14 that a lot of the things that I'm bringing as a global  
15 conspiracy -- but that I be able to bring up and bring  
16 a case against the New York City Police Department and  
17 the City of New York for what they've done to me since  
18 I filed this case in lieu of violation of my first  
19 amendment rights, that I'm going to bring another case.  
20 And my only problem with --

21 THE COURT: Let me just --

22 MR. PERRI: -- the federal government and  
23 the court has been every time I've tried to hold the  
24 police and the City of New York accountable, I've run  
25 up against a roadblock by the City and the federal

1 government have come after me.

2 THE COURT: Mr. Perri, let me just go back  
3 on track for a moment.

4 I understand that there are many other  
5 reasons and issues that you want the Court to take note  
6 of, and that's why I've given you the opportunity to  
7 place all of those statements on the record.

8 I'd like to hear from the City now what the  
9 terms of the settlement that were proposed to Mr.  
10 Feldman were, that he agreed to on Mr. Perri's behalf.

11 Is that correct, Mr. Feldman, that you  
12 agreed to the settlement?

13 MR. FELDMAN: Yes, Judge.

14 THE COURT: And what was the City's  
15 authority to settle this case?

16 MS. PUBLICKER: \$12,500, your Honor.

17 THE COURT: And Mr. Perri would be paid that  
18 within how long of a settlement being approved by the  
19 Court?

20 MS. SMITH-WILLIAMS: Ninety days,  
21 your Honor.

22 THE COURT: And as I said, Mr. Feldman,  
23 under local Civil Rule 83.2, there are procedures that  
24 are set forth in the CPLR under Section 1208 which  
25 actually requires certain information in affidavits to

1 be filed by the guardian ad litem, which are very  
2 basic: your name; address; relationship to plaintiff,  
3 which would be appointed by the Court; the name, age,  
4 and residence of plaintiff; the circumstances giving  
5 rise to the action; the nature and extent of the  
6 damages sustained by plaintiff; and the terms and  
7 proposed distribution of the settlement.

8 And that would have to be submitted in an  
9 affidavit with the settlement for the Court to approve  
10 it. And you should look at the Section 1208 of the  
11 CPLR for that affidavit to submit such an affidavit to  
12 the Court. That is the proceeding to settle or  
13 compromise an action, and it has to conform and nearly  
14 as may be to the New York State statutes and rules.  
15 But the Court may dispense with any New York State  
16 requirement and the affidavit or the sworn statement is  
17 under 1208(a)(5).

18 I do want you to understand, Mr. Perri, that  
19 in order to appeal there has to be a judgment entered  
20 by the Court. And that, although you may have  
21 significant reservations regarding settlement, the  
22 guardian ad litem was appointed, does have authority to  
23 settle the case, and is doing it because he has weighed  
24 the risks and benefits to you.

25 Mr. Feldman, do you believe that this

1 settlement is in plaintiff's best interests?

2 MR. FELDMAN: I do, your Honor.

3 THE COURT: And do you believe that his  
4 claims would be both triable and viable, if he  
5 proceeded on these claims?

6 MR. FELDMAN: I don't believe that they are,  
7 your Honor.

8 THE COURT: And do you believe that this is  
9 a fair result for Mr. Perri?

10 MR. FELDMAN: I do, your Honor.

11 THE COURT: And, Ms. Publicker, I would ask  
12 that you -- I don't know who the person was that you  
13 spoke to at the Crime Victim Compensation Board, but I  
14 would ask that you go over that person to the next  
15 person of higher authority with more experience on the  
16 job to now review what has been discussed on the record  
17 today, that Mr. Perri has been off probation for over  
18 seven years, that his conviction was twenty-some-odd  
19 years ago.

20 MR. PERRI: It happened 25 -- the conviction  
21 was --

22 THE COURT: Because I don't know what the  
23 retroactivity is of the amended statute in 2001. I'm  
24 not going to reach all these issues, but if we could  
25 eliminate another contentious issue. And I'm also

1 directing that you go back to your office to find a way  
2 to waive any liens based on any social services  
3 payments because that would eliminate another barrier  
4 here, in light of Mr. Perri's indigency and his need to  
5 find, in my mind, a permanent place where he can to  
6 make himself safe.

7 MR. PERRI: Your Honor?

8 THE COURT: Yes.

9 MR. PERRI: Could I make a few -- first, you  
10 know, I really didn't hear from Mr. Feldman -- he took  
11 over the case and so far there really hasn't been any  
12 work on the case. I appreciate that he's looked  
13 through the records and he has an opinion on the case.

14 THE COURT: And he's spoken to other people  
15 who are more expert than he is.

16 MR. PERRI: Okay. And under normal  
17 circumstances, I agree that that would be a fair  
18 settlement, but this isn't a normal case.

19 Now, I want to work with the Court and  
20 resolve this case and these issues. You know, but then  
21 I heard Mr. Feldman after not hearing from him for  
22 about a month, and he told me the case was settled.  
23 And I thought there was going to be some kind of status  
24 report, status on the case put in. So I asked Mr.  
25 Feldman. I said, did you put a status report. He

1 said, yeah. I said, could you send me a copy.

2 THE COURT: It was just a letter to the  
3 Court saying that it was settled.

4 MR. PERRI: He said, well, you know it's  
5 just one sentence. And I said, well, what does it say  
6 and he was skeptical to say. And then I got to the  
7 Court. I looked on the -- you know, I was going to the  
8 court anyway to file something else and I looked. And  
9 it said the case has been settled. I was like, oh my  
10 God. And all of a sudden there's a marshal behind me.  
11 I didn't even know that he settled the case for an  
12 amount that I could have gotten over two years ago.

13 But then, your Honor, I want to make a -- it  
14 appears to be that he has the power to do this beyond  
15 my -- I'm going to state my objection for the record  
16 because it's a matter of a continuing conspiracy, for  
17 that reason, that by the New York City Police  
18 Department and by the City of New York that's gone on  
19 since I -- even more enhanced since I filed this  
20 lawsuit on January 26, 2006. So I want to make a  
21 request that if you approve the settlement, that your  
22 Honor, I want to make a personal request because I've  
23 litigated this case for five years. I've spent over  
24 \$30,000 now of my own money. I've been homeless three  
25 times. I've spent rent money all on this case. And I

1 want to make a request of the Court, a motion of the  
2 Court, that you state for the record and the attorney  
3 states for the record -- because I obviously have no  
4 say in what he's going to write in the settlement or  
5 what the City is going to say.

6 So I'm asking you, your Honor, to protect my  
7 rights here and to have the City state that it's only  
8 that one incident. Even if it is a matter of a  
9 continuing conspiracy, that one incident, that I could  
10 bring a new case --

11 THE COURT: There is not going to be any  
12 release that you're signing, Mr. Perri. This is about  
13 that one incident in October --

14 MR. PERRI: One incident.

15 THE COURT: -- of 2003.

16 MR. PERRI: And I can bring a new case.  
17 You're telling me I can bring a new case for the first  
18 amendment violations --

19 THE COURT: Mr. Perri --

20 MR. PERRI: -- that occurred after that.

21 THE COURT: Mr. Perri, there is nothing in  
22 this settlement that is barring you from any further  
23 litigation. That doesn't mean that I'm encouraging  
24 you, Mr. Perri. I wish that you'd find something else  
25 to do with your time, sir.



1 MR. PERRI: No problem. But -- now those  
2 records, those photos of those police --

3 THE COURT: They're all part of the public  
4 file, sir. They're public records. The court records  
5 are public.

6 MR. PERRI: And you're saying that they have  
7 nothing to do -- and what my attorney and what this  
8 Court and what the City's position is that they have  
9 nothing to do with the October 11 incident.

10 So, having said that, that I can take that  
11 body of evidence, which is every substantial, 200 and  
12 something documents, there's probably 150 of mine, and  
13 I can take that and bring my case against the City,  
14 which I'm going to do.

15 THE COURT: Mr. Perri, I'm not telling you  
16 what you can do in the future. I am saying as to this  
17 claim about what occurred on October 11, 2003 at 109  
18 Precinct in proposing this settlement agreement, Mr.  
19 Feldman, your guardian ad litem, has thoroughly  
20 considered the fairness and adequacy of this \$12,500  
21 settlement in light of the risks of continued  
22 litigation on your behalf.

23 The statements that you have made to the  
24 Court that you believe there is a continuing conspiracy  
25 are not addressed by this settlement agreement.

1           As far as having these other matters  
2 addressed regarding the liens that would normally be  
3 placed against the account -- because I think that that  
4 is something that needs to be looked into very quickly  
5 -- because I'm going to ask in the next ten days for  
6 the parties to get to the Court the affidavit, Mr.  
7 Feldman, under 1208 of the CPLR regarding the terms of  
8 the settlement, that the City has authority to offer  
9 this amount, and I'm asking you to find out about  
10 whether or not there can be -- even if he submits an  
11 affidavit of no liens, that there can be an agreement  
12 that nobody will pursue the liens.

13           The difference between the \$7,500, which the  
14 City routinely does not try to collect on liens, and  
15 the \$12,500 is no so great in this case. And I believe  
16 it would be in everybody's interest to get this fair,  
17 reasonable, and adequate settlement effectuated.

18           So, again, I understand and I will state for  
19 the record again that you have significant reservations  
20 regarding the settlement, Mr. Perri. You have the  
21 right to object to the settlement. And the settlement  
22 will only be a release against the defendants for that  
23 October 11, 2003 event at the 109 Precinct and does not  
24 cover events since time.

25           MR. PERRI: Or before the time.

1 THE COURT: Well, before that time, you are  
2 out of the box on the statute of limitations, sir. So  
3 as far as what the --

4 MR. PERRI: It's a continuing conspiracy.

5 THE COURT: -- what the Second Circuit will  
6 say about whether or not they're going to appoint a  
7 guardian for you, if and when you file an appeal, that  
8 I have nothing to say because I don't know what will  
9 happen.

10 MR. PERRI: It depends.

11 THE COURT: I do know that once I receive  
12 the settlement documents from Mr. Feldman on your  
13 behalf and from the City, that I then have to put this  
14 in a report and recommendation; that the minutes from  
15 today's conference will be made part of the record, and  
16 that I will recommend to Judge Amon that this  
17 settlement be approved. And you will have your chance  
18 to object to Judge Amon.

19 MR. PERRI: Okay. Now, your Honor, can I  
20 ask you -- I have an interlocutory appeal. I filed a  
21 motion for a temporary restraining order against the  
22 police.

23 THE COURT: I understand that, but that was  
24 after the guardian ad litem was already appointed. So  
25 whether or not you had the authority to file papers on

1 your own behalf when there was a guardian appointed --

2 MR. PERRI: I don't think so.

3 THE COURT: Again, Mr. Perri, you will take  
4 up your full set of remedies with --

5 MR. PERRI: He got appointed in December. I  
6 filed it in November.

7 THE COURT: You'll take up your full set of  
8 remedies with the circuit court, okay.

9 MR. PERRI: Well, you know, I'm not saying  
10 I'm going to appeal because your Honor believe it or  
11 not, I want to work with the Court and the government  
12 and everybody who wants to get this done. However, if  
13 the Court allows me to file another case against the  
14 City before them, I have no problem whatsoever settling  
15 this case.

16 THE COURT: Mr. Perri, Mr. Perri. I cannot  
17 comment on anything that you intend to do or what comes  
18 after. I am only dealing with the case from October  
19 11, 2003.

20 MR. PERRI: I'm just looking to protect  
21 myself.

22 THE COURT: I understand, sir. But I find  
23 that any --

24 MR. PERRI: I'm having problems with their  
25 clients and --

1           THE COURT: And additional delay in  
2   furnishing a remedy to Mr. Perri in this case would not  
3   be in the interest of justice. So I am directing the  
4   parties to file electronically the settlement  
5   agreement, the CPLR statement as required for in infant  
6   compromise, by -- we are currently at the 29th. Could  
7   it be done by the 11th of April? Mr. Feldman?

8           MR. FELDMAN: Sorry, Judge. Yes, that's  
9   fine with me.

10          THE COURT: And Ms. Publicker?

11          MS. PUBLICKER: Yes, your Honor.

12          THE COURT: And, Ms. Publicker, I can state  
13   that the other case where there was this issue was  
14   Hesterbey, and it was Mr. DePaul's case in your office,  
15   so please check in with him.

16          Is there anything else today before we  
17   adjourn? Mr. Feldman?

18          MR. FELDMAN: No, your Honor.

19          THE COURT: Mr. Perri?

20          MR. PERRI: I just want to request a copy of  
21   the minutes of this proceeding.

22          THE COURT: I'll get them made part of the  
23   record and have them sent to you.

24          MR. PERRI: And I want to say thank you.

25          THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Perri.

1 MR. PERRI: For speaking out for me and --

2 THE COURT: Mr. Perri, do you have an  
3 address that is currently on the books? You said that  
4 you were homeless. I want to make sure that -- or does  
5 Mr. Feldman --

6 MR. PERRI: Yeah, well, I'm under another  
7 -- I'm under --

8 THE COURT: -- know where he can send you a  
9 copy of the minutes?

10 MR. PERRI: Oh, yeah.

11 MR. FELDMAN: He's not homeless now. I  
12 believe he has eviction proceedings.

13 MR. PERRI: I'm under a eviction proceeding  
14 again.

15 THE COURT: Well, I'm sorry to hear that,  
16 sir. I'm hopeful that things will turn around if you  
17 get a little money.

18 MR. PERRI: Well, it's a continuation of my  
19 situation.

20 THE COURT: Again, Mr. Perri, I wish you  
21 good luck.

22 Anything further, Ms. Publicker?

23 MR. PERRI: Same to you, your Honor.

24 MS. PUBLICKER: No, your Honor.

25 THE COURT: Anything further from anybody?

1 MR. FELDMAN: No, your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Then we are adjourned. Thank  
3 you.

4 MR. PERRI: Thanks for the time, your Honor.

5 \* \* \* \* \*

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18 I certify that the foregoing is a correct  
19 transcript from the electronic sound recording of the  
20 proceedings in the above-entitled matter.  
21  
22

23   
24

25 ELIZABETH BARRON

April 4, 2011